

PRECISION \Leftrightarrow SHOWER UNCERTAINTIES

Resummations (incl showers) are all-orders calculations

What is the possible size of terms beyond the precision of the algorithm/calculation?

The answer computed by a shower algorithm depends on:

Radiation functions (e.g., $P(z)$; beyond universal terms)

Scale Choices for each branching (μ_R, μ_F)

Choice of resolution measure / evolution variable

Kinematics Maps / Recoil Strategies

Starting and Ending Scales

Treatment of coherence, subleading colour, spin correlations, PDFs, ...

Can we impose constraints?

If not, vary ...

Framework for automated variations developed & tested for some years in **VINCIA** [Giele, Kosower, Skands PRD84 \(2011\) 054003](#)

2016: All-orders proof & **Pythia 8** implementation [Mrenna, Skands Phys.Rev. D94 \(2016\) 074005](#)

Can vary μ_R [\sim subleading logs] and $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{z})$ [\sim process dependence]

AUTOMATED SHOWER UNCERTAINTY BANDS/WEIGHTS

[Mrenna, Skands Phys.Rev. D94 \(2016\) 074005](#)

Idea: perform a shower with nominal settings

Ask: what would the probability of obtaining this event have been with **different choices** of μ_R , radiation kernels, ... ?

Easy to calculate **reweighting factors**

In MC accept/reject algorithm:

for **all** branchings

$$\forall \text{ Accepted Branchings: } R'_{\text{acc}}(t) = \frac{P'_{\text{acc}}(t)}{P_{\text{acc}}(t)}$$

$$\forall \text{ Rejected Branchings: } R'_{\text{rej}}(t) = \frac{1 - P'_{\text{acc}}(t)}{1 - P_{\text{acc}}(t)}$$

[Giele, Kosower, Skands PRD84 \(2011\) 054003](#)

Output: **vector of weights** for each event

One for the nominal settings (unity)

+ Alternative weight for each variation

(Note: similar functionality also recently implemented in Herwig++ and Sherpa)

