## Hadronization \& Underlying Event

Peter Skands (Monash U \& CERN)



## Lecture Notes:

P. Skands, arXiv:1207.2389

Asia-Europe-Pacific School of High Energy Physics Puri, India, November 2014

Lecture 4

## From Partons to Pions

Here's a fast parton

Fast: It starts at a high
factorization scale
$\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{F}}=\mathrm{Q}_{\text {hard }}$

It ends up at a low effective factorization scale $\mathrm{Q} \sim \mathrm{m}_{\rho} \sim 1 \mathrm{GeV}$


## From Partons to Pions

Here's a fast parton

Fast: It starts at a high
factorization scale
$Q=Q_{F}=Q_{\text {hard }}$

| It showers | It ends up |
| :---: | :---: |
| (perturbative | at a low effective |
| bremsstrahlung) | factorization scale |
|  | $Q \sim \mathrm{~m}_{\rho} \sim 1 \mathrm{GeV}$ |



How about I just call it a hadron?
$\rightarrow$ "Local Parton-Hadron Duality"

## Parton $\rightarrow$ Hadrons?

## Early models: "Independent Fragmentation"

Local Parton Hadron Duality (LPHD) can give useful results for inclusive quantities in collinear fragmentation
Motivates a simple model:


## But ...

The point of confinement is that partons are coloured
Hadronization $=$ the process of colour neutralization
$\rightarrow$ Unphysical to think about independent fragmentation of a single parton into hadrons
$\rightarrow$ Too naive to see LPHD (inclusive) as a justification for Independent Fragmentation (exclusive)
$\rightarrow$ More physics needed

## Colour Neutralization

A physical hadronization model
Should involve at least TWO partons, with opposite color charges (e.g., $\mathbf{R}$ and anti-R)


Strong "confining" field emerges between the two charges when their separation $>\sim 1 \mathrm{fm}$

## Color Flow

## Between which partons do confining potentials

 arise?Set of simple rules for color flow, based on large- $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}$ limit


$$
g \rightarrow q \bar{q}
$$



$$
\begin{array}{ll}
g \rightarrow g g \\
\text { vecer } \rightarrow=
\end{array}
$$

Illustrations from: P.Nason \& P.S., PDG Review on MC Event Generators, 2012

## Color Flow

## For an entire Cascade



Coherence of pQCD cascades $\rightarrow$ not much "overlap" between singlet subsystems $\rightarrow$ Leading-colour approximation pretty good

LEP measurements in WW confirm this (at least to order $10 \% \sim 1 / \mathrm{Nc}^{2}$ )

Note: (much) more color getting kicked around in hadron collisions $\rightarrow$ more later

## Confinement

Potential between a quark and an antiquark as function of distance, $R$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Long Distances ~ Linear } \\
\text { Potential }
\end{gathered}
$$

Short Distances ~
"Coulomb"


Partons



Quarks (and gluons) confined inside hadrons

## What physical

 system has a linear potential?$$
F(r) \approx \mathrm{const}=\kappa \approx 1 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{fm} \Longleftrightarrow V(r) \approx \kappa r
$$

~Force required to lift a 16-ton truck

## From Partons to Strings



Motivates a model:
Let color field collapse into a (infinitely) narrow flux tube of uniform energy density $\mathrm{k} \sim 1 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{fm}$
$\rightarrow$ Relativistic $1+1$ dimensional worldsheet - string

## String Breaks



## String Breaks

In "unquenched" QCD $\mathrm{g} \rightarrow \mathrm{qq} \rightarrow$ The strings would break


## The (Lund) String Model

Map:

- Quarks $\rightarrow$ String Endpoints
- Gluons $\rightarrow$ Transverse Excitations (kinks)
- Physics then in terms of string worldsheet evolving in spacetime
- Probability of string break (by quantum tunneling) constant per unit area $\rightarrow$ AREA LAW

See also Yuri's $\mathbf{2 n d}^{\text {nd }}$ lecture


Gluon = kink on string, carrying energy and momentum $\rightarrow$ STRING EFFECT

Physics now in terms of strings, with kinks, evolving in spacetime Very simple space-time picture, few parameters at this point

## Fragmentation Function

## Having selected a hadron flavor

How much momentum does it take?

## Spacetime Picture <br> leftover string, further string breaks



## Large System

## Repeat for large system $\rightarrow$ Lund Model

$$
\left|\frac{\mathrm{d} E}{\mathrm{~d} z}\right|=\left|\frac{\mathrm{d} p_{z}}{\mathrm{~d} z}\right|=\left|\frac{\mathrm{d} E}{\mathrm{~d} t}\right|=\left|\frac{\mathrm{d} p_{z}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\right|=\kappa
$$




String breaks are causally disconnected
$\rightarrow$ can proceed in arbitrary order (left-right, right-left, in-out, ...)
$\rightarrow$ constrains possible form of fragmentation function
$\rightarrow$ Justifies iterative ansatz (useful for MC implementation)

## Left-Right Symmetry

Causality $\rightarrow$ Left-Right Symmetry
$\rightarrow$ Constrains form of fragmentation function!
$\rightarrow$ Lund Symmetric Fragmentation Function


$$
f(z) \propto \frac{1}{z}(1-z)^{a} \exp \left(-\frac{b\left(m_{h}^{2}+p_{\perp h}^{2}\right)}{z}\right)
$$

Small a
$a=0.9 \rightarrow$ "high-z tail"


Small b
$\rightarrow$ "low-z enhancement"


Note: In principle, $a$ can be flavour-dependent. In practice, we only distinguish between baryons and mesons

## Iterative String Breaks

Causality $\rightarrow$ May iterate from outside-in


## The Length of Strings

In Space:
String tension $\approx 1 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{fm} \rightarrow$ a $5-\mathrm{GeV}$ quark can travel 5 fm before all its kinetic energy is transformed to potential energy in the string.
Then it must start moving the other way. String breaks will have happened behind it $\rightarrow$ yo-yo model of mesons

In Rapidity: $\quad y=\frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{E+p_{z}}{E-p_{z}}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{\left(E+p_{z}\right)^{2}}{E^{2}-p_{z}^{2}}\right)$

For a pion with $\mathrm{z}=1$ along string direction
(For beam remnants, use a proton mass):

$$
y_{\max } \sim \ln \left(\frac{2 E_{q}}{m_{\pi}}\right)
$$

## Note: Constant average hadron

 multiplicity per unit $y \rightarrow$ logarithmic growth of total multiplicityScaling in lightcone $p_{ \pm}=E \pm p_{z}$ (for $q \bar{q}$ system along $z$ axis) implies flat central rapidity plateau + some endpoint effects:

$\left\langle n_{\mathrm{ch}}\right\rangle \approx c_{0}+c_{1} \ln E_{\mathrm{cm}}, \sim$ Poissonian multiplicity distribution

## Alternative: The Cluster Model

## "Preconfinement"

+ Force $\mathbf{g} \rightarrow \mathbf{q q}$ splittings at $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{0}}$
$\rightarrow$ high-mass q-qbar "clusters"
Isotropic 2-body decays to hadrons according to $\mathrm{PS} \approx\left(2 s_{1}+1\right)\left(2 s_{2}+1\right)\left(p^{*} / m\right)$




## Strings and Clusters



## Hadron Collisions



## Hadron Collisions

$\qquad$

\$ UAS 1982 DATA
\& UAS 1981 DATA

Do not be scared of the fallure of physical models (typically points to more interesting physics)


FIG. 3. Charged-multiplicity distribution at 540 GeV , UA5 results (Ref. 32) vs simple models: dashed low $p_{T}$ only, full including hard scatterings, dash-dotted also including initial- and final-state radiation.

## Hadron Collisions



FIG. 3. Charged-multiplicity distribution at 540 GeV , UA5 results (Ref. 32) vs simple models: dashed low $p_{T}$ only, full including hard scatterings, dash-dotted also including initial- and final-state radiation.


FIG. 12. Charged-multiplicity distribution at 540 GeV , UA5 results (Ref. 32) vs multiple-interaction model with variable impact parameter: solid line, double-Gaussian matter distribution; dashed line, with fix impact parameter [i.e., $\widetilde{O}_{0}(b)$ ].

## What is Pileup / Min-Bias?

We use Minimum-Bias (MB) data to test soft-QCD models
Pileup = "Zero-bias"
"Minimum-Bias" typically suppresses diffraction by requiring two-armed coincidence, and/or $\geq \mathrm{n}$ particle(s) in central region

$\rightarrow$ Pileup contains more diffraction than Min-Bias
Total diffractive cross section $\sim 1 / 3 \sigma_{\text {inel }}$
Most diffraction is low-mass $\rightarrow$ no contribution in central regions
High-mass tails could be relevant in FWD region
$\rightarrow$ direct constraints on diffractive components ( $\rightarrow$ later)

## What is diffraction?

## Single Diffraction



Double Diffraction: both protons explode; gap inbetween Central Diffraction: two protons + a central (exclusive) system

## What is Underlying Event?

## "Pedestal Effect"



Useful variable in hadron collisions: Rapidity (now along beam axis)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{c}
\text { Designed to be additive } \\
\text { under Lorentz Boosts along } \\
\text { beam (z) direction }
\end{array} \\
& y \rightarrow-\infty \text { for } p_{z} \rightarrow-E \quad y \rightarrow 0 \text { for } p_{z} \rightarrow 0 \quad y \rightarrow \infty \text { for } p_{z} \rightarrow E
\end{aligned}
$$

## Questions

## Pileup

How much? In central \& fwd acceptance?
Structure: averages + fluctuations, particle composition, lumpiness,
Scaling to 13 TeV and beyond
Underlying Event ~ "A handful of pileup" ?
Hadronizes with Main Event $\rightarrow$ "Color reconnections"
Additional "minijets" from multiple parton interactions
Hadronization
Models from the 80ies, mainly constrained in 90ies Meanwhile, perturbative models have evolved

## The Total Cross Section

Pileup rate $\propto \sigma_{\text {tot }}(s)=\sigma_{\mathrm{el}}(s)+\sigma_{\text {inel }}(s) \propto s^{0.08}$ or $\ln ^{2}(s)$ ?
Donnachie-Landshoff Froissart-Martin Bound


## The Inelastic Cross Section

## First try: decompose $\quad \sigma_{\text {inel }}=\sigma_{\text {sd }}+\sigma_{\text {dd }}+\sigma_{\text {cd }}+\sigma_{\text {nd }}$

 + Parametrizations of diffractive components: $\mathrm{dM}^{2} / \mathrm{M}^{2}$PYTHIA:

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma_{\mathrm{sd}(A X)}(s)}{\mathrm{d} t \mathrm{~d} M^{2}} & =\frac{g_{3 \mathbb{P}}}{16 \pi} \beta_{A \mathbb{P}}^{2} \beta_{B \mathbb{P}} \frac{1}{M^{2}} \exp \left(B_{\mathrm{sd}(A X)} t\right) F_{\mathrm{sd}} \\
\frac{\mathrm{~d} \sigma_{\mathrm{dd}}(s)}{\mathrm{d} t \mathrm{~d} M_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~d} M_{2}^{2}} & =\frac{g_{3 \mathbb{P}}^{2}}{16 \pi} \beta_{A \mathbb{P}} \beta_{B \mathbb{P}} \frac{1}{M_{1}^{2}} \frac{1}{M_{2}^{2}} \exp \left(B_{\mathrm{dd}} t\right) F_{\mathrm{dd}}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

+ Integrate and solve for $\sigma_{\text {nd }}$



## The "Rick Field" UE Plots

## There are many UE variables.

The most important is $\left\langle\Sigma \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}\right\rangle$ in the "Transverse Region"


## The Pedestal

## (now called the Underlying Event)

## LHC from 900 to 7000 GeV - ATLAS

"Away"


## Track Density (TRANS)

Not Infrared Safe
Large Non-factorizable Corrections
Prediction off by $\approx 10 \%$
Truth is in the eye of the beholder:
R. Field: "See, I told you!"
Y. Gehrstein: "they have to fudge it again"

## From Hard to Soft

Main tools for high- $p_{\text {T }}$ calculations
Factorization and IR safety
Corrections suppressed by powers of $\Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}} / \mathrm{Q}_{\text {Hard }}$ Soft QCD / Min-Bias / Pileup

NO HARD SCALE<br>Typical Q scales $\sim$ ^ecd<br>Extremely sensitive to IR effects<br>$\rightarrow$ Excellent LAB for studying IR effects

$\sim \infty$ statistics for min-bias
$\rightarrow$ Access tails, limits
Universality: Recycling PU $\leftrightarrow \mathrm{MB} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{UE}$

## Is there no hard scale?

Compare total (inelastic) hadron-hadron cross section to calculated parton-parton (LO QCD $2 \rightarrow 2$ ) cross section


## $\rightarrow 8 \mathrm{TeV} \rightarrow 100 \mathrm{Tev}$

$\rightarrow$ Trivial calculation indicates hard scales in min-bias



## Physics of the Pedestal

Factorization: Subdivide Calculation


Multiple Parton Interactions go beyond existing theorems
$\rightarrow$ perturbative short-distance physics in Underlying Event
$\rightarrow$ Need to generalize factorization to MPI

## Multiple Parton Interactions

= Allow several parton-parton interactions per hadron-hadron collision. Requires extended factorization ansatz.


## How many?

## Naively <br> $$
\left\langle n_{2 \rightarrow 2}\left(p_{\perp \min }\right)\right\rangle=\frac{\sigma_{2 \rightarrow 2}\left(p_{\perp \min }\right)}{\sigma_{\mathrm{tot}}}
$$

 Interactions independent (naive factorization) $\rightarrow$ Poisson

$$
\mathcal{P}_{n}=\frac{\langle n\rangle^{n}}{n!} e^{-\langle n\rangle}
$$

Real Life
Color screening: $\sigma_{2 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 0}$ for $p_{\perp} \rightarrow 0$
Momentum conservation suppresses high-n tail
Impact-parameter dependence

+ physical correlations
$\rightarrow$ not simple product


## Impact Parameter



1. Simple Geometry (in impact-parameter plane)

Simplest idea: smear PDFs across a uniform disk of size $\pi r_{p}{ }^{2}$ $\rightarrow$ simple geometric overlap factor $\leq 1$ in dijet cross section Some collisions have the full overlap, others only partial $\rightarrow$ Poisson distribution with different mean <n> at each b
2. More realistic Proton b-shape

Smear PDFs across a non-uniform disk
MC models use Gaussians or more/less peaked Overlap factor $=$ convolution of two such distributions
$\rightarrow$ Poisson distribution with different mean <n> at each b
"Lumpy Peaks" $\rightarrow$ large matter overlap enhancements, higher <n>
Note: this is an effective description. Not the actual proton mass density. E.g., peak in overlap function ( $>1$ ) can represent unlikely configurations with huge overlap enhancement. Typically use total $\sigma_{i n e l}$ as normalization.

## Number of MPI

## Minimum-Bias pp collisions at 7 TeV

Averaged over all pp impact parameters
(Really: averaged over all pp overlap enhancement factors)

*note: can be arbitrarily soft

## Caveats of MPI-Based Models

## Main applications: <br> Central Jets/EWK/top/ <br> Higgs/New Physics



## Extrapolation to soft scales delicate.

Impressive successes with MPI-based models but still far from a solved problem

Form of PDFs at small x and $\mathrm{Q}^{2} \longleftrightarrow$ Saturation
Form and $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{cm}}$ dependence of $\mathrm{p}_{\text {т }}$ regulator Modeling of the diffractive component Proton transverse mass distribution Colour Reconnections, Collective Effects

Poor Man's Saturation


[^0]
## 1: A Simple Model

The minimal model incorporating single-parton factorization, perturbative unitarity, and energy-and-momentum conservation

$$
\underset{\text { Parton-Parton Cross Section }}{\sigma_{2 \rightarrow 2}\left(p_{\perp \min }\right)=\langle n\rangle\left(p_{\perp \min }\right) \sigma_{\text {Hadron-Hadron Cross Section }} \sigma_{\text {tot }}}
$$

I. Choose $p_{T \text { min }}$ cutoff
$=$ main tuning parameter
2. Interpret $\langle n\rangle\left(p_{T \min }\right)$ as mean of Poisson distribution

Equivalent to assuming all parton-parton interactions equivalent and independent $\sim$ each take an instantaneous "snapshot" of the proton
3. Generate $n$ parton-parton interactions (pQCD $2 \rightarrow 2$ )

Veto if total beam momentum exceeded $\rightarrow$ overall (E,p) cons
4. Add impact-parameter dependence $\rightarrow\langle n\rangle=\langle n\rangle(b) \stackrel{\text { Oriman }}{\downarrow}$

Assume factorization of transverse and longitudinal d.o.f., $\rightarrow$ PDFs : $f(x, b)=f(x) g(b)$
$b$ distribution $\propto$ EM form factor $\rightarrow$ JIMMY model Butterworth, Forshaw, Seymour Z.Phys. C72 (1996) 637
Constant of proportionality $=$ second main tuning parameter
5. Add separate class of "soft" (zero-pt) interactions representing interactions with $p_{T}<p_{T \min }$ and require $\sigma_{\text {soft }}+\sigma_{\text {hard }}=\sigma_{\text {tot }}$
$\rightarrow$ Herwig++ model Bähr et al, arXiv:0905.467।

## 2: Interleaved Evolution



## $<\mathrm{PT}_{\mathrm{T}}>$ vs $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{ch}}$




## Color Correlations

Each MPI (or cut Pomeron) exchanges color between the beams

- The colour flow determines the hadronizing string topology
- Each MPI, even when soft, is a color spark
- Final distributions crucially depend on color space




## Color Correlations

Each MPI (or cut Pomeron) exchanges color between the beams

- The colour flow determines the hadronizing string topology
- Each MPI, even when soft, is a color spark
- Final distributions crucially depend on color space



## Color Connections

Better theory models needed


Multiplicity $\propto \mathrm{N}_{\text {MPI }}$

## Color Reconnections?

```
E.g.,


Better theory models needed
Do the systems really form and hadronize independently?


AM 54 6C 70
FM 88909294 〔

\section*{Tuning}
means different things to different people


\section*{MCnet Studentships}

MCnet projects:
- PYTHIA (+ VINCIA)
- HERWIG
- SHERPA
- MadGraph
- Ariadne (+ DIPSY)
- Cedar (Rivet/Professor)

Activities include
- summer schools (2014: Manchester?)
- short-term studentships
- graduate students
- postdocs
- meetings (open/closed)

\section*{Monte Carlo} training studentships


3-6 month fully funded studentships for current PhD students at one of the MCnet nodes. An excellent opportunity to really understand and improve the Monte Carlos you use!
Application rounds every 3 months.


\section*{Come to Australia}


Establishing a new group in Melbourne
Working on Precision LHC phenomenology \& soft physics
PYTHIA \& VINCIA
NLO Event Generators
Support LHC experiments, astro-particle community, and future accelerators
Outreach and Citizen Science

Soon Advertising:
1 post doc in theoretical physics
2 PhD scholárships in QCD pheno
(1 joint with Warwick ATLAS group, UK)
+ you can apply for Monash scholarships
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[^0]:    See also Connecting hard to soft: KMR, EPJ C71 (2011) 1617 + PYTHIA "Perugia Tunes": PS, PRD82 (2010) 074018 + arXiv:1308.2813

