### Workshop on Parton Radiation & Fragmentation: Summary of Detector Requirements



2<sup>nd</sup> mini-workshop on FCC-ee detector requirements, Nov 23 2016

# Disclaimer

For many, this was their "first take" on FCC-ee

Fresh set of people thinking about the possibilities, but few came with prepared studies → Few explicitly quantitative statements about detector requirements

#### Several themes emphasised repeatedly

Particle Identification (particle spectra, correlations)

Fragmentation Functions, Hadronisation Models (Jet composition ↔ particle flow)

Genuine non-perturbative effects revealed at scales ~  $\Lambda_{QCD}$  ~ few hundred MeV Gigits Important to resolve soft tracks down to lpl ~ 70 MeV =  $m_{\pi}/2$ 

Good  $\pi/K$  down to  $|p| \sim 100$  MeV? (LEP had  $x_K$  measurements down to  $|p_K| \sim 250$  MeV) Leading Particle ( $x \rightarrow 1$ ) studies: hard protons. Kaons, pions? ( $\rightarrow$  fake rates Probably not realistic for protons.

Leading-Particle (x→1) studies: hard protons, Kaons, pions? (→fake rates Use tracks, KOS, Lambda, ...

+ MC constraints & tuning, Colour Reconnections, Baryon and Strangeness

Correlations, Bose-Einstein ( $\pi$ ,K) and Fermi-Dirac (p, $\Lambda$ ) correlations, ...

Note: Fermi-Dirac radius puzzle. Fermi-Dirac correlations at LEP across multiple experiments & for both protons and Lambda  $\rightarrow$  0.1 fm << r<sub>p</sub> <u>W. Metzger</u>

Calo resolutions (& thresholds)

Neutrals: Jet charge (colour reconnection constraints), gluon (vs q) jet discrimination Heavy-quark dead-cone effect:  $\theta \sim m/E \sim 0.1$  for b quarks, 0.03 for c quarks (at m<sub>z</sub>)

Gigi says 3 hits down to 30-40 MeV

## Fragmentation Functions

### A. Vossen (FF overview)

- Precision of theory and experiment big advantage → Complementary to pp SIDIS
  - Evolution
  - Transverse momentum dependence in h+Jet Fragmentation
  - Gluon FFs
  - Smaller mass effects at low zFlavor separation (polarization?)
- Flavor structure for FFs of Hyperons and other hadrons that are difficult to reconstruct in *pp* and SIDIS
- Heavy Quark FFs Also from H decay?
- Larger multiplicities: Parity violating FF  $\tilde{H}_1$ : Local strong parity violating effects (next...)

Repeatedly emphasised gluon FF poorly constrained: Z→bbg , ZH(→gg): good b-tagging

High-N<sub>ch</sub> performance

#### Particle ID

Higher ee energy (than Belle)  $\rightarrow$  reach in z determined by reach in **low-|p| track reco** 



PETRA

**KEKB** 

TRISTAN

SLC

S. Moch (& others): field now moving towards NNLO accuracy: per-cent level errors (or better)

Monash Univ

## Parton Showers

#### P. Richardson (overview talk)

- In general good agreement for event shapes, jet rates etc.
- The description of meson spectra was generally good.
- However in all simulations baryon production has issues.
- At LEP II interest in colour reconnection between the W decay products and Bose-Einstein correlations.

#### Multi-jet events: kicked off matrix-element matching & merging

- → State of the art at LHC: multi-jet NLO merging
- For the first time in many years more work on the accuracy of the parton-shower algorithms.
- Needed as we go to higher accuracy for the matrix elements.
- 1/N<sub>c</sub> (Plätzer, Sjödahl JHEP 1207 (2012) 042), (Nagy, Soper, JHEP 1507 (2015) 119)
- Subleading logs (Li, Skands, arXiv:1611.00013)
- This is the area where there is probably the greatest potential for improvement.
- If we can consistently improve the logarithmic accuracy.

(Precision) Jet Substructure → Resolution!

# Quarks and Gluons

G. Soyez, K. Hamacher, G. Rauco, S. Tokar, Y. Sakaki

#### Handles to split degeneracies

- H→gg vs Z→qq
  - Rely on good **H→gg vs H→bb** separation;

mandated by Higgs studies requirements anyway?

 $Z \rightarrow bbg vs Z \rightarrow qq(g)$ 

g in one hemisphere recoils against two b-jets in other hemisphere: **b tagging** 

Vary jet radius: **small-R** → **calo resolution** 

(R  $\sim$  0.1 also useful for jet substructure)

Vary E<sub>CM</sub> range : below m<sub>Z</sub> : radiative events → **forward** boosted

(also useful for FFs & general scaling studies); Scaling is **slow**, logarithmic → large lever arm

#### Hamachei

- mitigate systematics/resolution by unfolding, control using E-dependence
- measurements mass-plots of resonances incl. (!) neutrals
- check baryons + resonances ( $\Delta^{0,++}, \Lambda, \Lambda(1520), \ldots$ )

Experimentally: need some particle id., high resolution e.m. calorimetry



Octet neutralisation? (zerocharge gluon jet with rapidity gaps) → neutrals Colour reconnections, glueballs, ...

Leading baryons in g jets? (discriminates between string/ cluster models) high-E baryons

## Colour Reconnections & Correlations

T. Sjostrand, W. Metzger, S. Kluth, C. Bierlich

At LEP 2: hot topic (by QCD standards): 'string drag' effect on W mass

Sjostrand

Can turn around at FCC-ee; use semi-leptonic events to measure  $m_W \rightarrow$  use  $m_W$  as constraint in fully hadronic WW to measure CR

Non-zero effect convincingly demonstrated at LEP, but without

much detailed (differential) information

### Has become even hotter topic at LHC



Much more colour flowing around; expect larger effects

< pT > increases with N<sub>ch</sub> (known since long)

ALICE @ ICHEP 2016: strangeness increases with N<sub>ch</sub>

It appears jet universality is under heavy attack. Fundamental to

our understanding (and modelling) of hadronisation

Many follow-up studies now underway at LHC. High-stats EE needed to tell the other side of story Low-momentum (identified) particles in high-multiplicity Z and WW events

### (Another reason to measure CR)

T. Sjostrand

Is the 125 GeV Higgs a pure *CP*-even state? Any odd admixture?

For LHC and future  $e^+e^-$  (&  $\mu^+\mu^-$ ?) colliders to probe. One possibility is  $H^0 \rightarrow W^+W^- \rightarrow q_1 \overline{q}_2 q_3 \overline{q}_4$ . Angular correlations put limits on odd admixture.



But: colour reconnection  $\Rightarrow$  shifted jet directions  $\Rightarrow$  shifted angular correlations.

## Details of Hadronisation

#### E.g.: how "local" is it? Fundamental property of hadronisation models

Baryon number, Strangeness, Spin, correlations between successive-rank hadrons (is it "screwy"? S. Todorova)

#### Particle ID is crucial



Matevosyan: quark spin in hadronisation